

## **Board, Commission and City Officials Interview 6/25/2008 on Land Use & Growth Alternatives**

**In attendance:** Pam Figgie, Irv Schiffman, Paul Freeland

### **General Notes:**

- Nance canyon is not contiguous with current city boundaries
- Going to mud creek is a good natural boundary. Likes Alt B, with the exception of Nance canyon. People are moving out the subdivision and suburbs and into urban area.
- bringing McIntosh Estes area is a logical extension of the city
- Like the Diamond Match area as a village site
- Likes alternative C as a preferred alternative. With the addition of McIntosh Estes area. Okay about moving the greenline. Call it a "C plus". Like the infill and higher densities, should consider Bell Muir in the future.
- Pomona Ave. area should be considered. The mix of C and B is a good idea. Need to come to terms with the density.
- Do not like the Schuster site. It makes no sense to devolve. Does not like the eastgate subdivision site.
- Like a healthy downtown, mixed use and housing retail and specialty stores. Would like to see park avenue develop with more housing
- Like the idea of a creative class downtown, vibrancy. Need to enhance the environment for the creative people. Don't sprawl out.