

## **Sustainability Task Force Meeting 6/16/08**

### **Stakeholder Interview on the Growth Alternatives**

Attendees:

Jason Bougie, Kristin Cooper – Carter, Tom DiGiovanni, Chris Giampaoli, Jim Goodwin, Ken Grossman, Amelia Gulling, Jon Luvaas, Dr. Scott G. McNall, Jim Pushnik, Tami Ritter, Ann Schwab, Jim Stevens, Scott Wolf, Julian Zener

- Need to consider how to complete existing neighborhoods
- Consider the HCP/NCCP in the process
- Natural evolution of cities will lead to denser housing unit counts in the center
- do not have to provide enough land for the projected need
- Would like to see the VMT numbers for each alternative
- need to build new sewer facilities to accommodate growth in the north Chico specific area.
- Both mall sites can be converted to mixed use eventually.
- need to protect wetlands and habitat
- Large lot SFH are just not feasible
- demographic changes will result in a future need of additional housing types.
- We have more than enough SFH than we will need in 2020
- Alt C represents the best opportunity, for smaller units close together that are affordable.
- Moderate growth scenario.
- Limited expansion outside of the greenline.
- residents will support mud creek development is if it is very low residential
- north west specific plan to address the infrastructure issues dealing with mud creek and be muir
- Nance canyon is an interesting possibility. Needs to have onsite sewage treatment
- Schuster piece makes sense, environmental considerations.
- infrastructure is less expensive in existing areas.
- More viable to build up and reduce waste than to build out.
- developing anywhere near the rail road will present real feasibility challenges.